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APPENDIX A

The following is a comprehensive history of the hearings, reports and bills that preceded the passage of the Rancheria Act in 1958.

Discussion of terminating the trust responsibility between the United States and Indian tribes began in earnest after the resignation of Commissioner John Collier in 1945. At hearings held by the Senate Committee on Civil Service in 1947, the BIA's Acting Commissioner testified that, "Bureau services could be curtailed or eliminated as to certain tribes and reservations, by groups." The Commissioner outlined four criteria for measuring a tribe's readiness for withdrawal of federal services: (1) degree of acculturation; (2) economic resources and condition; (3) the tribe's willingness to be relieved of federal control; and (4) the State's willingness to take over. Tyler, supra note 18, at 163-164. Applying these criteria, he segregated tribes into three groups: Group I could be released at once from federal supervision; Group II could be released in 10 years; and Group III in the indefinite future.¹

Sensitive to Congressional pressure for withdrawal of services to Indians and curtailment of the Indian Office's bureaucracy, the BIA in 1948 set up a series of conferences in its regional offices.² The goal of these conferences was to formulate a long-range program, the objective of which would be "eventual discharge of the Federal Government's obligation, legal, moral, or otherwise, and the discontinuance of Federal supervision and control at the earliest possible time compatible with the government's trusteeship function."³ This policy emphasized a procedure which would withdraw trusteeship on a measured, step-by-step basis.

In 1951, the California Legislature joined in the call for termination. It urged the President and Congress "to dispense with any and all restrictions, whatever their nature, whereby the freedom of the American Indian is curtailed in any respect, whether as to governmental benefits, civil rights, or personal conduct . . . ."⁴

The BIA's proposals for gradual withdrawal were unacceptable to forces in Congress. There was a suspicion that the BIA was only paying lip-service to termination goals, and that it had no desire to work itself out of a job. Accordingly, a resolution was passed in the House in 1952, which "authorized and directed" the Interior Committee to conduct a full and complete investigation and study of the activities and operations of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, with

---

² Id. at 166.
³ Id.
⁴ S. J. Res. No. 29, May 18, 1951, as set forth in Progress Report to the Legislature, California Senate Interim Committee on Indian Affairs. (January, 1955) at p. 17.
particular reference to BIA’s preparation for termination. The resolution further required the Committee to prepare "a list of tribes . . . qualified for full management of their own affairs," as well as "legislative proposals designed to promote the earliest practicable termination of all Federal supervision and control over Indians."  

A special subcommittee of the Interior Committee was appointed to carry out the mandate of H. Res. 698. In response to inquiries posed by that Committee, the Bureau produced an exhaustive report (1500 pages with maps and exhibits), which was published as House Report No. 2503. The report treated all California tribes and groups as one entity, and slated all of them for termination.

The first bills to terminate federal supervision over California Indians were introduced in both the House and the Senate in 1952, with the support of the Interior Department and the California Legislature. These bills did not pass, but would have terminated the status of all California Indian tribes, except for the Agua Caliente band.

In 1953 the California Legislature renewed its call for BIA withdrawal from California. It urged Congress "to take such steps as are necessary to effect a termination of the authority of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, particularly in the State of California."

In that same year, Congress officially adopted a policy of termination. The express goal of termination was

to make the Indians within the territorial limits of the United States subject to the same laws and entitled to the same privileges and responsibilities as are applicable to other citizens of the United States, [and] to end their status as wards of the United States . . .

---

5 H. Res. 698, 82nd Cong., 2d Sess., July 1, 1952.


7 Id.

8 S. 3005, H.R. 7490, H.R. 7491.


The Resolution further declared it "to be the sense of Congress that, at the earliest possible time, all of the Indian tribes and the individual members thereof located within the States of California, Florida, New York, and Texas . . . should be freed from Federal supervision and control . . . ." and that the BIA should thereafter be abolished in those states. Congress slated at least five additional Tribes in other states for termination. Finally, the Resolution directed the Secretary to report his recommendations for terminal legislation to Congress before January 1, 1954.

In response, the Department of the Interior hastily prepared a legislative package to effect the termination of Indians and Indian Tribes mentioned in the Resolution. These bills were introduced in January of 1954, and were the subject of lengthy joint hearings.

The draft legislation was mandatory in nature, giving California tribes no choice about whether or not they would be terminated. It applied to all California Indians, except for the Tribes along the Colorado River.

Although six other termination bills were enacted during the eighty-third Congress, neither of the California bills was enacted, probably because the State of California and California Tribes vigorously opposed them. One of the principal concerns expressed by California officials and Indians was that the BIA lacked funds and staff to perform many of the tasks which the bills mandated prior to conveyance of Tribal assets, and that these duties would be thrust upon state agencies which were not adequately equipped to perform them. State officials also objected to provisions which would have maintained the tax-exempt status of certain lands for various lengths of time.

Shelving of the 1954 bills did not end consideration of termination proposals for California. The California Senate had already created an Interim Committee on California Indian Affairs, which undertook an exhaustive study of the problems associated with withdrawal of federal supervision. In the latter part of 1954, that Committee held hearings, compiled a significant body of data on the termination problem, and issued a lengthy "Progress Report to the Legislature" in January of 1955. The report contained a set of conclusions and recommendations which, among other things, emphasized the need for completion of physical improvements on Indian properties before their distribution to individual Indians. These

---

12 Joint hearings on S. 2749 and H.r. 7322 Termination of Federal Supervision over Certain Tribes of Indians. 83rd Cong. 2nd Sess., Senate and House Subcommittees on Interior and Insular Affairs. 1954, p. 42 (hereafter "Joint Hearings.")

13 See, e.g., S. J. Res. No. 4, Cal. Stats. 1954; see also, Joint Hearings, p. 360.

14 See Joint Hearings, p. 360.


16 Progress Report to the Legislature, supra note 10.
improvements were to include roads built "to such a standard as will make the same acceptable for maintenance by the counties," survey of the boundaries of trust lands (as well as individual parcels therein), and "the immediate completion of irrigation and other work projects deemed necessary on the trust properties in order that the same will be in reasonably usable condition at the time of termination." Finally, the Committee recommended that any federal withdrawal legislation allow for separate consideration of each reservation or rancheria on a case-by-case basis.  The Senate Interim Committee gave extensive treatment to the withdrawal problem, and its report had a great impact on future termination legislation in Congress.

In 1957, four new "rancheria" bills were introduced in the House. Later, another bill prepared by the California Senate Interim Committee on Indian Affairs was also introduced.

These bills were referred to the House Subcommittee on Indian Affairs, which held hearings in May and June of 1957. Nearly identical, each bill provided for the termination of several small rancherias, and directed the Secretary of the Interior to complete designated improvements prior to distributing any of a rancheria's assets. Each bill provided for the preparation of a plan for distributing the rancheria's lands and assets, whether by the Indians of the rancheria or by the Secretary, after "consultation" with them. Indians unhappy with the proposed distribution were given a right of appeal to the Secretary. After ruling on appeals and making revisions to the preliminary distribution plan, the Secretary was required to submit the plan to a referendum vote of the Indians named therein as distributees. The plan would be implemented only after it had been approved by a majority of the listed distributees.

During the hearings, each of the bills was amended by a provision that became §10(b) of the Rancheria Act, which provided that, upon distribution of each rancheria's assets, the distributees and their dependents would lose their status as Indians under federal law and would become ineligible for benefits and services provided by the United States "for Indians because of their status as Indians." This amendment was opposed by Congressman Sisk, sponsor of one of the draft bills, who thought that the addition of the termination language was unnecessary and betrayed his agreement with constituent Indians as to the bill's form.

---

17 Id. p. 452.  
18 Id. pp. 458-459.  
21 Hearings on H.R. 2576, 2824, 2838, and 6364, and those during the 85th Congress, 1st Session, appear in that Subcommittee's Serial 13, for 1957.  
22 Id. at pp. 97-100.
The bills were thereafter consolidated into one committee bill. The consolidated bill named only 14 rancherias, rather than the 28 named in the four original bills. This reduction resulted from withdrawal of their bills by Congressmen Sisk and Engle because of their dissatisfaction with the Committee's amendments. The consolidated bill was passed by the House of Representatives on August 13, 1957.

In the Senate, the California termination bill was passed on July 18, 1958, with an amendment that increased the number of affected rancherias to 41. The House concurred in the Senate amendments on August 7, 1958, and H.R. 2824 was signed by the President on August 18, 1958.

The 1958 Act differed from the 1954 termination bills, in three significant ways: (1) it did not affect all California Indians, unlike the 1954 bills; (2) it was permissive in nature, while the 1954 bills would have been mandatory; and (3) it required the Interior Department to complete improvements on the rancheria before ending supervision, while the 1954 legislation contained no such feature.

---

23 H.R. 2824.
25 104 Cong. Rec. 15232.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tribes Listed in the Act</th>
<th>Current Status of Listed Tribe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. Blue Lake</td>
<td>Restored by litigation - Tillie Hardwick, supra.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Cache Creek</td>
<td>Remains terminated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Tribes are listed as they appear in the Rancheria Act. If a tribe currently uses a different name, that name is included in parenthesis.
10. Cloverdale (Cloverdale Rancheria of Pomo Indians)  
Restored by litigation - Tillie Hardwick, supra.

11. Cold Springs (Cold Springs Rancheria of Mono Indians)  
Never terminated.

12. Elk Valley  
Restored by litigation - Tillie Hardwick, supra.

13. Guidiville  
Restored by litigation - Scotts Valley Band, et al. v. United States, No. C-86-3660-WWS (N.D. Cal.)  

14. Graton (Federated Indians of the Graton Rancheria)  
Remains terminated.

15. Greenville (Greenville Rancheria of Maidu Indians)  
Restored by litigation - Tillie Hardwick, supra.

16. Hopland (Hopland Band of Pomo Indians)  

17. Indian Ranch  
Remains terminated.

18. Lytton  
Restored by litigation - Scotts Valley Band, et al. v. United States, No. C-86-3660-WWS (N.D. Cal.)  

19. Mark West  
Remains terminated.

20. Middletown (Middletown Rancheria of Pomo Indians)  
Never terminated.

21. Montgomery Creek (one of the land bases of the Pit River Tribe)  
Never terminated.
22. Mooretown (Mooretown Rancheria of Maidu Indians)  
Restored by litigation - 
Tillie Hardwick, supra.

23. Nevada City  
Remains terminated.

24. North Fork (Northfork Rancheria of Mono Indians)  
Restored by litigation - 
Tillie Hardwick, supra.

25. Paskenta (Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians)  

26. Picayune (Picayune Rancheria of Chukchansi Indians)  
Restored by litigation - 
Tillie Hardwick, supra.

27. Pinoleville (Pinoleville Rancheria of Pomo Indians)  
Restored by litigation - 
Tillie Hardwick, supra.

28. Potter Valley (Potter Valley Rancheria of Pomo Indians)  
Restored by litigation - 
Tillie Hardwick, supra.

29. Quartz Valley  
Restored by litigation - 
Tillie Hardwick, supra.

30. Redding  
Restored by litigation - 
Tillie Hardwick, supra.

31. Redwood Valley (Redwood Valley Rancheria of Pomo Indians)  
Restored by litigation - 
Tillie Hardwick, supra.

32. Robinson (Robinson Rancheria of Pomo Indians)  
Restored by litigation - 

33. Rohnerville (Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria)  
Restored by litigation - 
Tillie Hardwick, supra.

34. Ruffeys  
Remains terminated.

35. Scotts Valley (Scotts Valley Band of Pomo Indians)  
Restored by litigation - 
Scotts Valley Band, et al. v. United States, No. C-86-3660-WWS (N.D. Cal.) (Stipulation for Entry of Judgment, filed
36. Smith River


Restored by litigation -
Tillie Hardwick, supra.

37. Strawberry Valley

Remains terminated.

38. Table Bluff (Table Bluff Rancheria of Wiyot Indians)

Restored by litigation -

39. Table Mountain

Restored by litigation -
Table Mountain Rancheria Ass'n v. Watt, No. C-80-4595 MHP (N.D. Cal. 1984).

40. Upper Lake (Upper Lake Band of Pomo Indians)

Restored by litigation -
Upper Lake Pomo Ass'n v. Watt, No. C-75-0181 SW (N.D. Cal. 1979); See also Upper Lake Pomo Ass'n v. Andrus (1977).

41. Wilton (Wilton Miwok Indian Community)

Remains terminated.

1964 Amendments to the Rancheria Act


Several unoccupied Rancherias were sold following the 1964 Amendments: Colfax, Likely, Lookout, Strathmore, and Taylorsville. These sales did not affect the status of any tribe.
Hon. Commissioner of Indian Affairs, Washington, D.C.

Sir:

In the matter of the condition of the California Indians, I have the honor to report as follows: The Act of Congress approved June 30, 1905, contained the following provision:

That the Secretary of the Interior is hereby authorized to investigate, through an inspector, or otherwise, existing conditions of the California Indians, and to report to Congress at the next session some plan to improve the same.

Pursuant to the said provision the undersigned was duly appointed to make the investigation. The letter of instructions was received on the 6th day of August, 1905. Two days later the actual work in the field began and has been prosecuted uninterruptedly to the 8th of March.

The work necessary to secure complete and accurate data has proved to be much greater than was anticipated, and has required the services of your special agent practically day and night during the whole time. About December 25, 1905, your special agent received further instructions to investigate conditions pertaining to the Southern California reservations, a duty which was duly performed. As there are marked differences in the situation there and in Northern California, the Northern and Southern fields will be taken up separately in the order of official instructions.

Your special agent has visited and personally inspected almost every Indian settlement between the Oregon line and the Mexican Border, and has used every effort to make his inquiry complete and exhaustive.

California has sixty counties, fifty-five of which have Indian settlements. It has required a little less than 12,000 miles travel to visit these settlements, and as most of them are not near railroad lines, it proved impossible to hurry the inquiry beyond the speed of a horse.

The Act of Congress which provides for this investigation requires a report at the present session. This allows less than three days per county, and some of the counties have hundreds of Indians. It is therefore to be regretted that time was not available to make a hut to hut canvass, as that seems the best way to insure complete accuracy.
Your special agent has made a family census of the Indians north of Tehachapi, which he believes to be as complete as possible under the circumstances. Working under great pressure as to time and being of necessity dependent upon third persons in a large measure for information, it is not expected that every Indian in the State has been enumerated.

Your special agent finds an Indian population in California of a little more than 16,500, of which 5,200 are reported as living upon reservations. Thirty-five hundred of these are in Southern California. There is thus a non-reservation population of about 11,300. Your special agent has examined their situation and cannot see that their condition is such as to be a matter of satisfaction either to the Government of the United States, or to the people of California. The Indian population of California a century ago cannot be stated accurately, as data for an accurate estimate are wanting. The census estimate of 1850 was 100,000. The estimates for 1830 vary all the way from 100,000 to 750,000. No well informed person estimates less than 150,000.

Dr. Hart Merrian of the Biological Survey, whose opportunities for examination have been exceptional, estimates 250,000. Every locality has its tale of hundreds of Indians fifty or even thirty years ago, where there is one now, and making due allowance for exaggeration, your special agent is inclined to believe Dr. Merrian's estimate well founded. A decrease in the Indian population of 94 per cent in a single century, and mostly within 40 or 50 years, is certainly exceptional and would seem to be a fact in which we can neither take pride nor escape responsibility.

In order to understand the present state of affairs, it is therefore necessary to go somewhat briefly into the history of Indian matters in this State. California is a very attractive land to us today, and it was equally attractive to our aboriginal predecessors. The food supply was abundant and the population probably larger than all of the rest of the United States. There was also a conglomeration of Indian races. More than 200 more or less distinct dialects were spoken, classified by ethnologists into 22 or 25 distinct linguistic stocks, as distinct from each other as the Chippewas are from the Sioux, or the Iroquois from the Narragansetts. Two of these distinct stocks disappeared prior to the American occupation, and one other is now confined to Oregon. Representatives of all of the remaining stocks survive to this day, as shown in the census schedule accompanying this report. The different stocks are almost without exception antagonistic and were formerly in a state of perpetual warfare. The California Indians were not very warlike, and their wars were very small affairs in comparison with those of the Indians of the plains. Indians speaking dialects of the same stock were usually friendly. Each California village was independent of all others, and there seems to have been but little idea of tribal organization.

The Mission period began in 1769, and ended with the secularization of the missions by the Mexican Government in 1834. The region covered by the missions extended from the Mexican line to Santa Rosa, and from the Pacific Ocean to the San Joaquin Valley. The completion of the great work done by the Franciscan Fathers in civilizing the Indians was not allowed by the Mexican Government. The Indians had complained bitterly of their state of dependence,
and yet when the dependence ceased they proved utterly unable to maintain themselves. Upon the spoilage of the missions a scramble took place for lands, and a feebler attempt was made to reserve some land for the Indians, which proved ineffective.

In the year before the secularization, 1834, the mission records showed some 34,000 converts in the mission strip. There were probably some unconverted Indians termed gentiles. Only about 5,000 descendants of these Mission Indians are alive today. Most of the decrease is explained to have taken place between 1834 and 1849. A few of the Indians who had come from the San Joaquin Valley returned there. In Southern California those who were able to return to the mountains thus saved themselves from extinction.

The Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo, which ceded California to the United States, guaranteed Mexican land titles in the ceded territory as they stood at the time of the transfer. Under Spanish and Mexican law Indians had certain rights to the lands they occupied and could not legally be evicted from them. It would (sic) seem that this right was an interest in land and one entitled to protection under the provisions of the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo.

The Act of Congress which provided for the settlement of the titles to Spanish and Mexican grants imposed upon the commission appointed to make the settlement the duty of first setting apart for Indian use all lands occupied by them. It may therefore be assumed that Congress considered that the Indians had substantial rights. It was the duty of the commission to investigate and confirm the Indian title wherever Indians occupied lands included within the limits of a Spanish or Mexican grant.

Your special agent has found but two cases out of several hundred grants where this was done, Pauma and Santa Ynez, and in the latter case the terms of the settlement were so uncertain that an action is now pending in the state courts in regard to it. The new owners of the Spanish grants had to rely upon the Spanish law to sustain the validity of their titles, but were prompt to appeal to the American law to evict the Indians, something they could not legally do under the terms of their grants. It is needless to say that the Indians were evicted, the most recent instance being Warner's Ranch.

Four-fifths of the California Indians, however, were not affected by Spanish grants, nor did they come under Spanish or Mexican influence, and their undoing began with the great gold excitement of 1849. When the United States came into full legal ownership of California in 1848, the Spanish or Mexican laws relating to Indians were not adopted, as has been erroneously stated. The policy of the United States adopted toward its new Indian wards
in all the ceded territory was exactly the same as everywhere else. The Indian ideas of land ownership are radically different from ours. Our Government has never acknowledged that the Indians owned their lands in fee simple, and in view of the Indian idea of land ownership, this is correct. But the United States has always recognized, and the Supreme Court has held, that the Indians have a right to occupy the land, which right is termed the Indian right of occupancy, a right which can be cancelled only by mutual agreement.

All Indian lands in the United States, except in a portion of California, have been acquired by the Government of the United States, and acquired only by payment therefor. Even the land ceded by the Siouxs after the great outbreak were paid for. The Indian right of occupancy was in the beginning recognized in California. The Government sent out a commission which made treaties with nearly all the Indian tribes in the State. Sixteen treaties were negotiated in Northern California and two in Southern California. These treaties were all very similar in text. The Indians agreed to cede their lands to the United States and to keep the peace, and to accept certain reservations described by metes and bounds in the treaties. The Government agreed to reserve forever for Indian use the lands described in the treaties, and to pay a certain specified price, payable in a great variety of things, such as provisions, live stock, and miscellaneous goods. The value of the goods thus promised the Indians in Northern California was about $1,500,000, and the land reserved was about 5,500,000 acres, worth at the Government price of $1.25 per acre, about $7,000,000. In Southern California the goods promised were worth about $300,000, and about 2,000,000 acres of land was reserved, worth at $1.25 per acre, about $2,500,000. Some of these reservations were laid out in the mining districts, and were strongly opposed by the miners. At that time, in 1851, Indian treaties were submitted to the Senate for ratification. As California had gathered men of influence from all over the land, the miner's protest carried such weight that the Senate rejected not only these treaties that affected the mining districts, but all the treaties. No effort seems ever to have been made to make new treaties, or in any way to acquire the Indian title from that day to this, nor have the California Indians ever received one cent for their rights in the lands which they have lost.

The Osages, Cherokees, and other eastern tribes have received millions for precisely the same rights in land, not nearly so valuable, and no reason has been advanced why the California Indians alone, of all the Indians of America, should receive no compensation for their lands, except that as Spain did not acknowledge the land rights of any Indians who had not accepted the sovereignty of the King of Spain, and as we have come into the Spanish title through Mexico, therefore the United States is not bound to acknowledge the land rights. Though why the Indians should be bound by the laws of Spain now when they never were during the period of Spanish dominion is inexplicable to your special agent. The United States has, however, already acknowledged the Indian right of occupancy of nine-tenths of the Indians of the territory ceded by Mexico, and the Supreme Court seems to have settled the status for all Indians in the said territory in Pueblo cases. Moreover, the laws of Spain as to Indian land rights in the territory acquired via Mexico were precisely the same as in the territory of Louisiana in the lands acquired from Spain via France. The laws of France as to Indian lands in America did not differ essentially.
from those of Spain, or for that matter of England though the English Colonists early discovered the practical advantages of buying the Indian rights. Just why this comparatively small band of Indians in California should be selected as the only one in the United States to be deprived of their land rights is still unexplained. The Indians did not understand the intricacies of our Governmental system, or the meaning of Senatorial ratification of a treaty. The Indians certainly understood that they had made a solemn agreement with the United States; and that they had sold their lands for a price. The Government has taken their lands and their reservations and paid nothing, and from an Indian standpoint, this constitutes a deliberate breach of faith, without palliation or excuse.

The consequences of this violation of faith have been disastrous to the Indians. The reservation system of today is an evil which we trust will be eliminated in time, but which had the merit of protecting the Indians from the first fierce on-rush of a frontier population. Deprived of such protection in California, the Indians were at a serious disadvantage, greatly increased by the fact that there was no legal way in which an Indian could acquire title to the land he occupied. For nearly forty years after the American conquest of California, that is from 1846 to 1884, an Indian could not acquire land under the Federal land laws. He was not a citizen and therefore could not take up land. He was not an alien and therefore he could not be naturalized and become a citizen. Hence the settlers had what might be termed a "clinch" on the Indian, and by the time the Indian allotment act was passed in 1887, there was no land left to allot, except in the extreme northern and eastern parts of the State. Something concerning Indian allotments will be said hereafter in this report.

In 1849, the great gold rush began. Within a year or two a considerable portion of the State was overrun by probably two hundred thousand miners. They were mostly men of the strongest and most vigorous type, well armed and masterful. A majority of them had inherited the prejudices and the stories of two hundred years of border warfare with the Indians. A large number of the Argonauts had come over land and had had desperate conflicts with the unlike Indians of the plains. They were, therefore, in no mood to acknowledge that Indians had any rights whatever, and as a rule acted consistently upon this theory. Opposed to the miners was a practically defenseless people (they had no fire-arms), and the entire Indian population of the mining regions could not have mustered 30,000 warriors. Under the circumstances, it is not strange that one of the most shameful chapters of American History ensued. Among the Argonauts there were some desperate characters, who were as willing to commit an outrage upon an Indian as upon any one else. The Indians would retaliate in the aboriginal fashion by killing the first white man they met, then followed swift and sure retribution. The miners would organize and the offending village would be "wiped out." Sometimes, especially east of the Sierras, conflict would arise from attacks upon caravans. The most frequent cause of these conflicts was the accusation that the Indians had stolen stock. The accusation was not always proved, but the nearest band of Indians usually suffered for it. Sometimes the charge was well founded and the Indians had made away with the stock. The Indians had no conception of private ownership of domestic animals or of private ownership of food and did not realize at first that different rules prevailed among the whites. In time the Indians learned to let the white man's effects alone, and the miners began to understand the comparatively harmless character of the California Indian.
The modus operandi of these affairs was very much the same. The Indian camp would be surrounded and rushed, usually at dawn, and men in ambush would shoot every Indian that appeared. At first few were spared, but as no one wished to kill the children, they were usually sold into slavery. Quite a number of raids are reported, especially into the Coast Range, their sole object, it seems, having been to secure slaves. Some Indians are reported to have been so held even after the legal extinction of slavery in the United States. More than 100 of these affairs between whites and Indians have been reported, and there is scarcely a locality from Yuma to Tontoquet that has not its story of an Indian "battle." If all the stories told could be believed, they would indicate that more than 15,000 Indians were killed in these affairs, but the suspicion is strong that the white participants in telling the tale afterwards may have exaggerated the number of Indians involved as they did the dangerous character of the clubs and bows and arrows which constituted about the only weapons the Indians at that time possessed.

This state of affairs was not wholly unknown to the National Government. At first there were Government agents who made due reports to headquarters, and one of them issued a strong appeal to the people of California, but the agents were soon legislated out of office, and thereafter the Federal Government had little knowledge of the California Indians. The State Government also disclaimed any responsibility for them. An Indian could not sue in the state courts and his evidence was not admissible in a court of justice until 1872. As might be expected, the Indian spirit was soon crushed, and no Indian now dreams of attempting to protect his own rights in any way. There are no legal discriminations today against the Indians in California, but the temper of white juries in many counties is such that an Indian can seldom obtain justice.

One noticeable effect of the white settlement of California has been the introduction of many diseases theretofore unknown to Indians, and from the effects of which they are not free to this day. Smallpox has been very destructive to them in the past, and tuberculosis is prevalent among them now. Thousands of Indians have died of all sorts of these imported diseases, and the sanitary and other conditions under which Indians live, and which will be referred to hereafter, are such that death usually follows closely upon the attack of disease.

Another feature of civilization unknown among Indians prior to their acquaintance with the white race is the use of intoxicating liquors, and as the thirst for liquor seems innate among Indians, the problem of handling the liquor traffic among them is difficult.

The State of California has an excellent law against selling liquor to Indians, which law was enforced in some counties and disregarded in others. It is to be regretted that the recent decision of the Supreme Court of the United States has removed practically all the Indians in Northern California from the scope of the Federal laws. A large increase in open liquor selling is noticed, and the remnants of some bands seem to be trying to drink themselves to death before the law is changed. It is a pleasure to find that a majority of the California Indians are sober. The Indians who are addicted to liquor are apt
to hang around the towns, and thus fill a much larger place in the public eye than the sober Indians, who usually stay at home and are seldom seen. If a recommendation upon this subject is allowable, your special agent would earnestly recommend that the act be amended so as to meet the suggestions raised by the Supreme Court. It may also be feasible to provide for the summary cancellation of the Federal liquor license when the holder thereof shall be convicted of the offense of selling liquor to Indians, in any court of the United States, or of any state or territory. It is not expected that this would put an end to illicit liquor selling, but it would tend to throw the traffic out of the hands of the saloon-keepers who have friends on juries and political influence, into the hands of go-betweens who are usually one or two in each locality who are willing to take the risk.

But neither the open slaughter of the California Indians in the period of "war," nor the ravages of disease, nor the effects of drunkenness, considerable as they all are, can explain the tremendous decrease of 94 per cent in the number of California Indians in but a little over one generation. We are so familiar with the idea that the Indian race is facing away before our own that inquiry is seldom made into the details of the process by which we fade them. In the case of the California Indians, the most potent factor has been, in the opinion of your special agent, the gradual and sure aggression on the part of the whites, the progressive absorption of the Indian's every means of existence.

Perhaps this requires some explanation. In aboriginal days the California Indians were more sedentary than any other Indians of the United States, other than the Pueblo Indians. Each tribe was restricted within narrow limits. Usually each band had a strip of territory reaching from the mountain tops down to some fish-bearing stream, or the ocean, and they seldom or never went beyond these limits. Game was abundant, but did not hold a very great part in their diet, and all the California tribes were large fish eaters. Hardly a band was without its source of fish supply. The Indians also made a large use of edible roots. Grass seeds and larvae and pupas of some insects, and also grashoppers were often on the bill of fare, and angleworms were resorted to in times of scarcity, as they are occasionally today. The largest single item in their menu was composed of acorns and other nuts. The Indians grind the acorns and sow the seeds in the ground, and make various forms of mush and bread, both leach out the bitter principle and make various forms of mush and bread, both

The first effect of the occupation of the land by the miners was the muddying of the streams by the mining operations and the killing or frightening away of the game, thus cutting off the Indians' fish and game supply. The mining population soon needed gardens, and about the only land suitable was that where the edible roots grew. The stock industry followed very soon, and even the oak trees were felled in and forbidden to the Indians, as the acorns were needed for hogs. Later the era of wheat came and arable lands passed into
private ownership. The Indians were thus reduced from a state of comparative comfort to one of destitution. Very few white families would not feel the pinch of poverty if they lost one-half or three-quarters of their subsistence, and it is not strange that the Indians suffered. This absorption of the Indian's means of making his living did not take place simultaneously all over the State, but everywhere there has been the same steady, sure occupation by whites of everything that will yield a living to a human being. It is not to be expected that a savage people could at once adapt themselves to such changed conditions, or that they should at once see the necessity or reason for any change at all. There was little or nothing available to take the place of what the Indian had lost. Very few people in those days wanted Indian labor on any terms, and there was very little work to be done at that time which an Indian fresh from barbarism was competent to do. Generally speaking, the California Indians have been not far from the line of destitution ever since, and few have been able to rise above their environment.

All this could not have occurred had the promises made by the Government in rejected treaties been given effect in any form, however modified. By the Government never made any further attempt to require the Indian right of occupancy had not been stated. It is suspected that interested parties had more influence at Washington than the Indians did. The Indian Bureau did, it is true, attempt for a time to protect the Indians and several small reservations were set aside by Executive Order. Some of these were decided to be within the limits of Spanish grants and thus not available for reservations. Others were occupied by settlers who had political influence enough to have the reservations cancelled. One or two who had political influence enough to have the reservations cancelled. Only three reservations in Northern California were finally saved to the Indians. The Rupa Reservation and the Klamath strip became Indian land as a result of an expensive Indian war brought on by encroachments on their lands. The Round Valley Reserve was confirmed to the Indians as a result of similar trouble hardly important enough to be called a war. These three reservations have a total population of about 1,550 Indians. The Tule River Reserve and the reserve near Jackson, formed subsequently, have about 170 Indians. The rest of the Northern California Indians who have kept the peace and killed nobody have received nothing but writs of eviction.

At first, and before the country was thickly settled, if a land-owner objected to the presence of Indians he could move to some adjacent tract, but very soon the land in the greater part of the State was practically all taken up. Then as the lands became more valuable there was less tolerance of Indian occupancy. Had it been possible for Indians to take up Government land, much misery could have been saved them. In many instances the Indian arranged with some white friend to take up the land, upon the promise that the Indian should remain there as long as he desired. This promise was usually kept by the white man as long as he lived. Then he died his successors were very apt to evict the Indian. Some of the evictions were from Spanish grants, and some distressing occurrences of this kind in Southern California attracted the attention of Helen Hunt Jackson and others, and as a result of their agitation reservations were assigned to the Indians of Southern California. Since that time the situation in Southern California and the problems arising there have been different from those arising in Northern California, and will be discussed hereafter in this report.
At first the Indians occupied pretty fair land and had usually neat little gardens and orchards, especially of peach trees. These tiny little places would attract the attention of some frontiersman who would then file on the place and summarily kick the Indian out. Several hundreds of these cases have been reported. One man still in middle life has been evicted seven times in this matter. It is not strange that the Northern California Indians have ceased to try to have gardens, when any appearance of thrift is warrant for their ejection from the premises. Indeed, most of them at the present time are living on land, where, for lack of water or worthlessness of the soil, gardens are impossible. Most of the Indians have now been crowded out of anything like good soils and are found in wretched places not having value enough to attract anyone else. It is now a matter of difficulty for an evicted Indian to find any place of refuge, except in other Indian settlements already overcrowded.

The Indian Allotment Act did not come in time to be of much use to the greater number of California Indians, though its value has been great in the Northern and eastern part of the State, notwithstanding some defects in the application. There have been issued in California 2,058 Indian allotments, of which 221 have been cancelled for one cause or another, leaving 1,727 now valid and outstanding. Of these 1,727 allotments now outstanding, 1,445 are in the counties of Modoc, Lassen, Plumas, Shasta, and Siskiyou in the northeastern corner of the State, leaving but 358 for the rest of the State. Every allotting agent sent out by the Department seems to have visited this corner of the State and hardly any other. Two or three visited Humboldt County, and one is reported in the Southern Sierras, but almost their entire attention seems to have been concentrated on this one section of the State.

The allotting agents first sent out were from the east, and to them California conditions were an insolvable enigma. Some seem to have come expecting a soft snap. When it became evident that allotting the lands to Indians required arduous labor in the mountains in all sorts of weather (there was suspicion that some of them did not know how to run a section line), they preferred the much easier plan of making the allotments from the map.

The Golden State is widely known as a land of fruit and flowers and mild climate. It does not seem to be well understood that a considerable portion of the State of California, larger than most eastern states, has a severe winter climate with heavy snow-falls, and that there are also extensive deserts. The allotments referred to are in this portion of the State. Over 300 allotments are absolute desert, being sage brush plains without water or the hope of water. Six hundred more allotments are located in the Sierra Nevada Mountains, where the land, or rather rocks, incline up at an angle of 45 degrees or more, and the snow falls often 30 or 40 feet deep, and lies from October to June. It would seem that even a special agent from the Atlantic littoral ought to have known better than to allot either kind of land to anyone for a home, and yet that is just what was done. More than three-fourths of the allotments in that section are absolutely unfit for human habitation, and it is not strange that the Indians have been unable to do anything with them. The small number of allotments which are fit to live upon have been the salvation of the Indians there, and the distress, disease and death which follows in the wake of eviction has been unknown among them. If the Allotment Act had nothing more to its credit than the saving of these Indians, its enactment would be justified. This, however, does not help those Indians who have received the worthless allotments. The present allotting agents
in the field are competent, but they cannot create land or undo the mistakes of their predecessors. The desert allotments have scanty pasturage and could probably be sold to sheep or goat men. Five acres of good land with water (land worth very little), is worth more than an entire quarter section without water is worth very little), is worth more than an entire quarter section of desert land. I would recommend that the Government buy enough land with adequate water supply to give each family five acres of land, and exchange these five-acre tracts for the quarter section allotments of desert land. This would require a nominal appropriation of from $25,000 to $30,000, but it would be only nominal, as the value of the land received in return at the Government price of $1.25 per acre would probably exceed the value of the land purchased.

The mountain allotments referred to, some 500 in number, are in much the same situation as the desert allotments, except that most of them have more timber and some of them good timber, indeed. This fact has kept timber from being surveyed or unsurveyed lands of the United States, not otherwise entitled to hold the land. If these allotments were fit for human habitation, your special agent would be inclined to stand by the Indians at all cost as against the timber speculators, who are usually eastern gentlemen with little experience in absorbing timber land, or their California agents who sometimes seem to be selected for their supposed unfamiliarity with the ten commandments.

The times have gone by when either the desert allottees or the mountain allottees can secure other allotments from the public domain. Hence your special agent would recommend action in favor of the mountain allottees similar to that proposed for those on desert lands. The Government has held these lands at $2.50 per acre. Those with timber on are worth much more. The Government would be a large gainer in exchanging the allotments in question for the small allotments. Land can be had in the mountain valleys much cheaper than in most of California. It would also require a nominal appropriation of an amount which cannot be stated exactly without further examination, though probably not to exceed $40,000. Of the mountain allotments referred to, about one-third are within the limits of the forest reserves, and none of the others are more than three or four miles from the reserve boundaries. Most of these lie in the territory between the Diamond Mountain and the Plumas Forest Reserve, which should, apparently, be included in these reserves. There would therefore seem to be no good reason why all the allotments over which so much controversy has arisen should not be put into the forest reserves and the Indians given something in exchange which they can use, or at least live upon more than three months in the year.

There is a defect, apparently, in the allotment system as developed in California in that no provision seems to be made for protecting an allottee after he has received the allotment either in the use of the land itself, or what is more important, the water supply when there is one. As it stands now, anyone can jump an Indian's allotment, and there seems no practical remedy, or anyone can move the fence over onto the Indian's land, or divert his water, and it is not even a misdemeanor. Theoretically, the Indian can appeal to the State Courts. Practically, such remedy is illusory. The Indian would have to pay court and attorney fees, often jury fees, and would have to put up a bond for costs, all beyond the power of most Indians. The same is true of encroachments
upon an Indian's water supply. Many cases have been reported to your special agent where white men have deliberately diverted a stream of water from the Indian with full knowledge that the Indian was helpless, and that the offence could be committed with impunity. The Indian could do nothing but watch his trees die and his garden dry up, and be forced to abandon his holding.

There is very little use in giving an Indian an allotment if anyone who is a little loose in morals can secure his use of it. The Indian has no confidence in the white man's courts, and it must be confessed that in times past he has had little reason to have any. The title to the land in these allotments is still in the United States, and it is the United States that is technically the party interested. It therefore seems entirely within the province of the Federal Government to interfere and to see that its interests are not wantonly injured.

Your special agent would therefore recommend additional legislation for the protection of Indian allottees; that trespassing or encroaching upon an Indian allotment be made a misdemeanor; and that it shall be made the duty of the United States Attorney for the district to appear whenever the boundaries, title, or possession of the land or water appertaining to an Indian allotment is in question.

Very few Indians have been able to rise above the distressing conditions they live under and to acquire land by purchase. Still there are a number of Indian communities owning land in common. Indianola, Humboldt County, Upper Lake, Lake County, Potter Valley, Coyote Valley, Pineville, Cedville, Carrol, in Mendocino County are all inhabited by Indians who own their own land, though it was purchased by white friends in most cases. The conditions in these settlements are far from satisfactory. They are sadly overcrowded, and are becoming more so as the Indians evicted elsewhere join the communities. At Potter Valley, 52 Indians are living upon 14 acres of land that would not support a single white family. At Coyote Valley 36 live upon seven acres, and at Cedville 59 live upon five acres. At Upper Lake they have 160 acres of land, of which but 25 is level enough to build a house on. The land is good grazing land, but the whole place would not be large enough for more than one white family. One hundred and seventy-seven Indians live there, and there are more than 250 in the band. There are also three communities living upon land owned by religious or private associations; one near Chico owned by the Presbyterian Board of Missions; one near Kelseyville owned by the Roman Catholic Church; and one near Manchester owned by the Northern California Indian Association. In these three settlements conditions are much better, as they are not so overcrowded, and there is some attention paid to the welfare of the Indians themselves.

An interesting experiment has been under way at Fort Independence, Inyo County, which seems to be giving much better satisfaction than the allotments under the General Allotment Act. The old military reservation at Fort Independence has been turned over to the Indian Bureau, and has been allotted, or rather apportioned among the Indians of that settlement. There are 20 tracts, of from 2-1/2 to 5 acres per family, and 43 families, or 122 souls, have homes on the tract. The land is of good quality and the water supply ample. The Indians are making good use of the land and the conditions among them seem excellent. In fact, the experiment is so successful that your special agent suggests it for consideration as a model in the proposed relief of the Northern California Indians.
There are also quite a number of Indians located within the boundaries of the forest reserves. According to the figures of your special agent, they number 1,194. They have, of course, no title to the land they occupy, and since the establishment of the forest reserves, it is uncertain whether the lands within the boundaries can legally be allotted to them. These lands have mostly been in their present location for time immemorial, and there seems to be no occasion for any action in respect to any of them. The Forest Reserve Officials do not seek to object to the Indians, though some of the desire to extend their hold by means of leases or terms which it is proposed to have the Indians secure to enable them to reside upon the reserve. This seems highly necessary, and any rules or regulations for Indians alone are objectionable. There is no apparent reason why the Indians should be upon any different basis from other people, and any attempt to enforce arbitrary rules is sure to result in friction. Your special agent would therefore recommend that no action be taken in respect to Indians on the forest reserves until action seems more necessary than at present.

In the matter of schooling for their children, the Indians in California have not been much favored. For many years all Indian children were refused admission to public schools, and today, in a majority of school districts where Indians live, public sentiment is against their admission. Among the only districts in which Indian children are welcome are those small ones which are likely to lapse if the Indians do not attend. It is impossible to give exact figures as to the number of Indian children attending the public schools, as the school registers do not distinguish them, and only partial statistics could be obtained. As near as can be estimated, the number is about 500 out of a possible school population of 2,700. The law of California in regard to school matters makes no distinction as to race or color. The trouble has been in local public sentiment. All counties have for years drawn the full quota from the State School Fund for the education of the non-reservation Indian children, but most of the counties have refused the Indian children admission to the schools, seemingly with no conception of the morals involved in drawing money from the State Treasury for one purpose and using it for another. The method of school apportionment has, however, been changed recently, and hereafter no money can be drawn for Indian children unless they actually attend the district school. The National Government has to a limited extent entered the educational field, and is now maintaining reservation boarding schools at Ruma and Round Valley, training schools at Greenville and Fort Bidwell, and day schools at Bishop, Big Pine, Independence, Ukiah, and Manchester. These have a capacity of about 560, and the attendance of non-reservation children has not exceeded 350. Private schools have about 50 more non-reservation children. There are thus at least 1800 Indian children without opportunity of any schooling whatever.

In endeavoring to ascertain the present condition of the Indians of Northern California, your special agent has availed himself of all information offered from any and every source, but he has preferred to rely chiefly upon his own investigations, and for that purpose has visited almost every Indian settlement in Northern California. He feels in a position to speak with some degree of assurance in regard to what he has seen. The most surprising feature of the situation is the absolute ignorance of 99 per cent of the inhabitants of California in regard to the Indians in their own neighborhoods. Very few persons really know much about Indians in their person or in their circumstances, or in their manner of living. Those who are best informed are usually the store-keepers with whom the Indians trade, and whose information is usually accurate.
Your special agent finds considerable diversity in the Indian condition in different localities, they being usually in better condition in the northern part of the section, and worst off in the Central Valleys and along the southwest flanks of the Sierras. The Indians are for the most part settled in little villages called in California rancherias. These little settlements contain all the way from 20 souls up to 250, the usual size being about 50. A schedule or census accompanying this report gives the location of each such settlement and the names of each head of a family and the number dependent upon him. These Indian settlements are for the most part located upon waste or worthless land as near as possible to their ancestral homes. These remains of each stock or tribe or band occupy today almost exactly the same territory their ancestors did a century ago.

In the native religion of the Indians a sort of shamanism, inter-communion with the spirit of the dead is one of the chief features. The Indians continually make offerings to the souls of their deceased ancestors and friends, especially at the annual feast of the dead, and they expect to receive in return protection from all manner of spiritual and earthly terrors. The desire of the Indian to remain by the bones of his ancestor is therefore much more than a mere sentiment, and the feeling is still strong, even among those who have been Christians for a generation or so. An Indian will endure great extremities rather than abandon his locality, a trait that has not always been given proper weight in attempting to handle Indians.

The sanitary condition of the Indian rancherias is bad, but the feeling of helplessness and despair is worse. Most of the Indians seem to have lost all hope of escape from their present situation and have become familiar with the idea that they will all die off soon any way. It is evident that if the Indian is to keep alive he must have some means of making his living. He must do so by his own labor, either for himself or for others. Most of the Northern California Indians being landless, the opportunity to work for themselves is wanting, and they must of necessity work for others. If the supply of labor for Indians was sufficient in all localities and well distributed during the year, the problem would be light, but in many localities the labor is not to be had in sufficient amounts, and the Indians thus suffer great straits in endeavoring to keep alive.

Your special agent estimates that 1,700 families with nearly 6,000 souls are dangerously near the famine line. This does not mean that they are all suffering at the same time, or all times, or every year, but each of the landless bands is liable to suffer a time of famine, and during such a season the old people and children die. The healthy and ablebodied can survive a period of starvation, but in the weakened state caused by insufficient nutrition, almost any disease, even common colds, will carry off most of the children in the settlement. North of Tehachapi there are hardly any of the old people left, and the proportion of children is small, although births are numerous. The people of almost any locality who do not know the Indians well are apt to deny that their Indians ever suffer. Other Indians do, but their's do not, and it is a striking fact that the less work there is for an Indian in a locality, the more firmly convinced his white neighbors are that he has all the work that any well regulated Indian could desire. The store-keepers, however, generally know better, and quite a number have told me that in employing an Indian it was necessary to feed him up for two or three days before he was able to work satisfactorily; and that the Indian scale of living was so low that the Indians were often weak from lack of proper food. The Indian is not competent for all kinds of work and usually is
restricted to the roughest labor. The need of industrial instruction is
great, and the need of field matrons to teach ordinary household economy
and common sanitation is even greater.

Your special agent will take pleasure in recommending 25 or 30
places as proper locations for industrial instructors or field matrons.
It can hardly be expected, however, that either can teach very much while
the Indians are subject to eviction at any time or are being harried from
place to place. It can hardly be claimed that the non-reservation Indians
are advancing very much, or that any very effective steps are being taken to
improve their condition or to teach them anything that an Indian must know if
he is to take his part in our civilization. There are missions at Fall River,
Chico, North Fork, Helseyville, and Carroll. These with the Government work
Chico, North Fork, Helseyville, and Carroll. These with the Government work
at the schools, altogether do not reach 20 per cent of the non-reservation
at the schools, altogether do not reach 20 per cent of the non-reservation
Northern California, and especially an increase in the number of field matrons
and industrial instructors. He will, if desires, submit reports hereafter
specifying locations and giving more details than seen proper in this report.

The California Indian both north and south has a good reputation
as a hard working, trustworthy, honest laborer. His greatest defect is that
he will sometimes leave his work without regard to the position in which it
leaves his employer. In some localities the Indians have all the work they
can do. In other localities a very curious race prejudice, different from
that against Asiatics, militates against their employment. In other places
there is very little work of any kind to be had, and the Indian often has to
go 50 or 100 miles to work. Then he can work but a short time, picking fruit
or hops. This is often all the work they get in the year, and how these bands
live is a mystery to their neighbors.

In making the family census of the Indians of Northern California,
a very puzzling question was the status of the half-breeds or mixed-bloods.
The number recorded by the census is much fewer than had been expected. It
has been found impossible to classify them strictly according to blood. With
those half-breeds who are brought up, educated and acknowledged by their white
fathers, little trouble is experienced, but the majority of the mixed-bloods
never knew their white ancestry, and have grown up in the Indian camps. They
are more intensely Indian in sentiment than the Indians themselves. They con-
sider themselves Indians, and it is difficult to deal with them upon any other
basis. About two-thirds of the half-blood men marry full-blood Indian women,
and 20 per cent of the half-blood women marry Indian men who are full-bloods,
and where the children are thus three-fourths Indian, they are Indians to all intents
and purposes, and are so recorded in the census. A considerable number of half-
bloods intermarry among themselves. These form a class apart, not being recognized
by whites and looked upon with suspicion by the Indians. The mere statement of
mixed-blood therefore, does not indicate whether or not they are to be considered
Indians, and a separate list has been made for mixed-bloods, status undetermined.

Just what ought to be done with them, your special agent is not able to decide, as
it will take a more intimate examination of each individual case than he has had
time to give. People of mixed blood, more than half white, are not usually
enumerated at all.
The responsibility of the national government for the present condition of the non-reservation Indians of California seems clear. Had the government given these Indians the same treatment as it did other Indians in the United States, their condition today would be very different. Those Indians of California who have received land, if not increasing in numbers, are at least not decreasing. Most of the leased lands are about stationary in numbers. The entire Indian population of Northern California has decreased as closely as your special agent can estimate by about 1,100 in the last three years, most of the decrease being in the leased bands.

It should be remembered that the government still owes these people considerable sums of money, morally at least, but the government owes more than money. No amount of money can repay these Indians for the years of misery, degradation, and death which the governmental policy has inflicted upon them. No reason suggests itself to your special agent why these Indians should not be placed in the same situation as all other Indians in the United States; why they should not receive a minute portion of the lands which they have not as yet ceded to the United States. It seems clear to your special agent that the Northern California Indians have not had a "square deal," and that it is not too late to do belated justice. The landless Indians cannot be placed in status quo ante, but they can be given what is sometimes expressed as "a white man's chance," and it ought to be possible to put an end to the periodical wiping out of Indian children. It seems that we are under the necessity of civilizing the Indian whether we like the job or not, or whether the Indian wants to be civilized or not. We are therefore under obligation to make at least a decent effort to accomplish the task without injury to the Indian.

Your special agent is inclined to object strongly to anything in the nature of reservations for these people. The day has gone by in California when it is wise to hard the Indians away from civilization, or to subject them to the stunting influences of reservation life. Some of the past reservation experiences in California have been so harrowing that the Indians fear reservations above all things. Moreover, the expense of establishing reservations, and more especially maintaining them, would be enormous. Reservations, therefore, seem out of the question. It should, however, be feasible and comparatively inexpensive to give these Indians allotments, and there would be no expense connected with the allotments after they are once made. It would, however, be necessary to buy a considerable amount of the land, as there is very little land in the public domain left to allot them. Almost everything relied upon for this purpose has been included in the forest reserves. The expense of buying land to allot these Indians is not so great as would appear at first sight. Your special agent is not in favor of giving them farms. They would be unable to use farms. Small tracts, not exceeding ten or fifteen acres, if the land is good land, will be ample, and in many places five acres per family, or less, will be sufficient. It is not necessary that the Indians should be made rich. All that is proposed is that they shall have mere footholds with fixity of tenure. This will not change their present status as laborers, but will give opportunity to teach them some of the common everyday lessons which they need so much. I would therefore recommend the appropriation of a sufficient sum for the purchase of land in the immediate localities where the Indians live, to be allotted or assigned to them in small tracts under such rules as the Secretary
of the Interior may prescribe. It may take several years to complete the work. Hence it is not necessary that the entire appropriation shall be available the first year.

The Indian Appropriation Bill for the fiscal year 1903, contained an item providing for this investigation, and appropriating the sum of $10,000 to cover the expenses, and for the support and civilization of the Northern Indians of California, for 1902. Your special agent would recommend that the unexpended portion of this appropriation be re-appropriated in such form that it can be applied to the purchase of land.

It seems to be the belief of many persons that there has existed in California a considerable body of "Citizen" Indians. This is an illusion. Until allotment times there never were any "Citizen Indians" in California. There are none now except of comparatively recent make. The Indians who are supposed to be Citizens, or most of them, were so neither in law nor in fact and were for all those years liable by reason of legal restrictions to appeal to the courts of either state or Nation. Their rights and their citizenship were denied by both state and nation, and to speak of anyone in such position as a Citizen is absurd.

There are, however, some really Citizen Indians in California. At the present time about 1,250 Indian men are, by virtue of the Allotment Act, entitled to vote, or would be if they could pass the educational qualifications imposed by the constitution of California. Comparatively few of these have ever voted, and those few are usually educated mixed bloods. The 1,250 men may be said to represent an Indian population of about 4,000. These may fairly be considered citizens. It should be understood that for these Citizen Indians no relief is asked and in the opinion of your special agent none is needed other than some re-adjustment of allotments mentioned heretofore in this report.

Southern California.

Although the troubles of the Indians of Southern California arise from the same initial wrong as those of the Northern part of the State, yet, the Government has here attempted to repair the wrong, and has assigned more or less barren reservations to substantially all the Indians in the southern section of the State. This action came late, as usual, and there was very little land of any value remaining in the public domain which could be given to the Indians. The unsatisfactory condition on some of the reservations arises from the character of the reservation, and therefore requires remedies different from those to be applied in Northern California.

Your special agent has visited nearly all of the reservations in Southern California, and has had a bird's-eye view of some of the others, and has made a careful investigation of the situation there. Those reservations which seem to require attention will be considered in order:

Camoo.

Camoo has occupied a considerable place in the public mind for the past 18 months by reason of reports current as to conditions there. It is to be regretted that the sensational press has exploited the matter in such shape as to give the
idea that all Indians in Southern California were in the last stages of starvation. The situation at Campo was bad enough without exaggeration. There is no question as to the extremity to which the Indians of the Campo reservation were reduced. Your special agent has no doubt as to the fact that the Indians were in great straits, and that only the timely relief saved them, or most of them from death by starvation.

There are five reservations usually known as the Campo reservations, as follows: Campo Proper, area 260 acres, population 25, elevation about 2,500 feet; Manzanita, area 640 acres, population 59, elevation 3,000 feet; La Posta, area 239 acres, population 19, elevation about 3,200 feet; Coyapipe, area 830 acres, population 44, elevation about 3,500 feet; and Laguna, area 320 acres, population 1, elevation about 4,500 feet. The areas given are their areas on paper. Most of the land is the most barren description. The actual areas of arable lands are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reservation</th>
<th>Acres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campo</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manzanita</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Posta</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coyapipe</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laguna</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are about 20 of these Indians not living on any reservation. The rainfall is scanty, and grain and hay are about the only crops that can be raised without irrigation. There is no water for irrigation on any of the reservations, and barely enough water for household use. The entire five reservations would not support more than one or two white families, and yet 40 Indian families are expected to make their living there. The surrounding country for fifty miles in every direction is thinly settled, and mostly a cattle country where there is very little work for Indians outside of the reservations.

Now Indians require some means of making a living the same as anyone else. To place Indians upon a reservation where they cannot make a living, either by working for themselves or for others, is to invite exactly what occurred at Campo, starvation. The immediate cause of the hard times at Campo was a succession of three or four bad years when crops failed.

Your special agent saw no evidences of present suffering at Campo. The relief extended by the people of Southern California was timely and generous. Since the Government has taken charge of the situation there has been no occasion for suffering. Last year was a favorable one, and the present promises to be likewise, but so far no remedial steps have been taken to prevent a recurrence of the trouble which any bad year may bring forth.

In relieving the distress, the people of Southern California have contributed two four-horse wagon loads of supplies, the value of which cannot be less than $2,000. There was also contributed in cash, through the Sequoyah League, which also handled the contribution of goods, the sum of $3,075, and through other persons, $335.17. The Government has itself spent $748.58 in cash, a total of $4,158.97 in cash and at least $2,000 in goods. This for 185 Indians. Staring our Indians seems to be quite expensive both for the Government and the surrounding people. The amount of cash alone spent in the last 12 months is the interest on $93,218 at 5 per cent, and at the rates the Government pays, the principal would be much larger.

All humanitarian questions aside, it would seem to be cheaper as a business proposition to put these Indians in a position where they can earn their
own living than to allow present conditions to continue and have a scandal of this kind every few years. Your special agent estimates that a proper place can be secured in a neighborhood with a proper water supply, and would recommend an appropriation to provide more and better land for the Indians of the five Campo reservations. It is not expected that all the Indians will wish to remove from the old reservations, and I therefore recommend that the present reservations be retained and used in connection with the proposed new tracts.

The amount contributed by the people of Southern California and by the United States seems large for the purpose, and yet it is not quite as large as it appears at first sight. $1,155.97 is only $1.40 per month per head for the 18 months. A report has gained considerable currency in the public press that the Campo Indians are being supported in idleness and luxury. $1.40 per month per head will not buy many luxuries for anyone, nor will it buy an undue quantity of necessaries. The relief was not all doled out by the month to be sure, but was given in the nick of time when needed. Yet it is still evident that the Campo Indians, notwithstanding the considerable assistance received, have themselves, by their own labor, furnished the major portion of their subsistence.

Pala

The new reservation at Pala is undoubtedly the best in Southern California. There is a large area of good land and a fine water supply. Some 550 or 500 acres are now being irrigated. The land under the new ditch, about 1,000 acres, is sub-irrigated, well drained, free from alkali, and with the surface irrigation from the new ditch ought to be very productive. The situation is certainly much better than that formerly occupied by the Indians on Warner's Ranch. It is not to be expected that the old people will ever be satisfied with the new location, but the able-bodied young men are finding the value of the new location. They probably would not be so willing to return to the old site, if it were possible. Your special agent has no desire to criticize severely those Government officials at Pala who did the best they could in a time of great stress, yet, there are certain things in connection with the making of the Pala reservation that are valuable in showing some things to be avoided in trying to improve the waste of Government funds, and, as usual, no one is willing to shoulder the responsibility.

The new irrigation ditch has cost nearly $18,000, or about $45 per acre of land irrigated. It cannot be used to irrigate any other land anywhere. The ditch is well built, with a proper grade and fine curves. About three-quarters of a mile of it is cemented. There are some criticisms that might be made as to money spent in a diverting dam of which very little is to be seen now and to other expenses necessitated by locating the upper end of the ditch parallel to the torrent. The capacity of the ditch is given as 1,700 inches of water, and the land to be irrigated about 1,000 acres. The duty of water under the San Diego Ditch and Flume Company, the largest irrigation enterprise in that part of San Diego County, is 1 to 6, that is, 67 inches of water would irrigate 1,000 acres of land. If we take 1 to 6, the lower duty of 1 to 4, 100 inches of water would be sufficient. Or to put it another way, the ditch of 1,700 inches capacity would irrigate from 6,800 to 10,200
acres of land. These are minimum figures, however. It would be perfectly proper to make the ditch larger than necessary for the minimum amount of water. Four times the minimum or from 300 to 400 inches would have been ample as the capacity of the ditch.

Your special agent has in former years visited Pala in the summer time, and he has seen the amount of water in the San Luis Bay River at that point. He doubts very much if the said river ever carries one-fourth of the capacity of the ditch in question during the irrigation season. The commission which examined the various sites prior to the purchase of Pala, state in their official report to the Secretary of the Interior that they measured the San Luis Bay River at the point of diversion and found a flow of 142 inches. Just why it should have been necessary to build the ditch a dozen times larger than there is land to irrigate, or water to irrigate with, is a query which an inspection of the premises does not enable one to answer. This big ditch contrasts strongly with the ditch recently completed on the Rincon reservation under the direction of the agent, planned to irrigate 200 acres of land, and which cost a little less than $800.

The matter of houses for the Indians who removed from Warner's Ranch to Pala was a vexed question of the times immediately after the removal. The suggestion was made that the Indians be at once set to work building adobe houses. This particular band had been making adobe, building adobe houses, and living in adobe houses for more than 100 years, and the adobe house was the one kind of house they knew all about. Adobe as a building material has some defects, but it also has some excellent qualities. It is suited to the climate, being warm in winter and cool in summer. It is wind-proof, dust-proof, and even when the roof was of thatch, the Indian houses were usually water-proof. But for some reason the adobe idea did not meet with favor. It was said to take too much time. This objection was also made against the project of buying rough lumber for the Indians to build into houses, and things were rather at a standstill until the brilliant idea was evolved of getting temporary houses for the Indians to live in permanently. The Indians were inclined to be malicious and openly threatened to return to Warner's Ranch. There was evident need for haste, and fifty portable houses were ordered by telegraph—from New York. The order seems to have been filled in due course of business, and the delay in coming by freight, more than 4,000 miles, was no greater than usual with transcontinental freight, but as a time-saving device, it was hardly a success. It was nearly six months before the Indians got into the houses. The expense was double what wooden cabins built on the spot would have been, and about four times the cost of adobes. There would be less room to cavil at this purchase if the houses were fairly adapted to the purpose for which they were bought. The houses are well enough constructed for the purpose for which they are advertised and sold, that is for a temporary house, or wooden tent. As a permanent dwelling place for human beings they are far from satisfactory. Being composed of but a single thickness of board three-quarters of an inch thick, they are hot in summer and cold in winter. The California sun has sprung the narrow strips composing the panels and made cracks in about every panel. The sun has also warped the roof panels and injured the tarred paper which constitutes the rain-shedding part. The houses are neither dust-proof, wind-proof, nor water-proof, and are far inferior to the despised adobes.
California has no winds comparable to the eastern cyclones, and yet not long ago a stiff breeze unroofed 11 houses and made kindling wood of another. Nearly every house in the settlement is more or less wrecked and twisted.

In moving the Indians to Pala, one mistake was made which, though of small dimensions, is illustrative of a class. The Indians of Agua Caliente, Village speak a dialect of Shoshonian stock. The little village at San Filipe, also evicted at the same time and moved to Pala, are of Tewan stock. Not a single word is alike in the two languages. Between these two diverse races of Indians there is no open war between them for a long time, a great deal of the old animosity still survives. The San Filipes removed to Pala number but 30, a mere hand-full, and all surrounded by an overwhelming number of their hereditary enemies, and among whom they are unacquainted. The San Filipes are outraged in their feelings, or possibly in their prejudices, and will never be satisfied at Pala. They have said little on the subject for they have all of a child's helplessness of making anyone understand. The Government seems to learn very slowly that Indians are not all alike, and that different stocks or races of Indians ordinarily cannot be put together. We may consider their ideas or antipathies to be childish, yet, if we wish to be successful in dealing with them we must necessarily take some account of the human characteristics of the Indian. I would therefore recommend that the San Filipe Indians be allowed to remove to Santa Ysabel where most of their friends and relatives are. More than half have left Pala already.

Fachanga

The Fachanga reservation is one of the poorest in Southern California. On the map it has 5,460 acres, which looks large. Actually, there is less than 300 acres that can be plowed, and this is so dry and sandy that the grain crop, about all that can be raised, is very scanty and often a failure. There is no water supply even for domestic purposes. At the Government school there is a well which furnishes water for two or three months during the rainy season and then the rest of the year all water has to be hauled from three to five miles, and at the school they have not even water enough to wash the children's faces. The contrast is strong between Fachanga and Pala with its good land, abundant supply of water for irrigation, and water for household purposes piped to each Indian house. There is a fine spring two or three miles up the canyon from Fachanga which can be brought down in pipes at an expense estimated by the agent as $4,000. The land the spring is on is Government land, and that and the land between it and the reservation should be added to the reservation. The Fachanga Indians really ought to have some land that is good enough for gardens. The expense would not be great, probably less than $5,000. I would therefore recommend the purchase of such land.

San Pascual

The maps show an Indian reservation named San Pascual, but actually there is no such reservation. A reservation was selected for these Indians, comprising certain descriptions of land in township 12 north, range 1 west, in San Diego County. By some inexcusable error, the land was actually reserved in township 11 south, range 1 west. None of the San Pascual Indians ever lived on the land actually reserved, as that was considered to be Shoshonian territory, and the Indians actually occupied the land in township 12. In the years that have passed,
all the land in the intended reservation worth filing on has been taken up in the usual manner, — it being open to settlement. The result is that the San Pascual Indians have no reservation, and all through errors not of their own making. I would therefore recommend an appropriation to buy a small tract of land for the San Pascual band.

**Los Coyotes.**

Los Coyotes is a large reservation on paper, being nearly a township of land. It is quite elevated, being from 4,500 up to 8,000 feet. The reservation is nearly all barren mountain tops, and the agricultural land is confined to narrow strips in the San Ysidro and San Iñacio canons, about 275 acres in all. A large part of this is owned by a white man and was patented before the reservation was established. There are also two valleys or hollows in the mountains which have some feed for cattle, and are also patented land. The Indians say that the Government promised them to buy this patented land. Whether such a promise was made your special agent does not know. It is a fact that the Government did buy out one white homesteader in the San Ysidro canon. These Indians are the only ones I have found in California who are inclined to be belligerent. They have been frightened by the fate of their neighbors on Warner's Ranch, and have determined to allow no white man on their reservation. They have occupied the patented lands, and show a disposition to hold them by force. If the owners insist upon their rights, a small sized Indian war is likely to result. It seems to your special agent that the Indians' demand for this land is just. It was a rancheria site, and as such could not be filed upon without something closely approaching piracy. The patents are now issued, however, and the title has passed to parties who acquired it in a legitimate manner — I believe upon a mortgage. I would therefore recommend an appropriation to buy this land.

**San Manuel.**

This reservation of 510 acres is about the most absolutely worthless that I have seen anywhere in California, being steep, barren dry hills, and yet it immediately adjoins one of the most fertile pieces of land in Southern California. The Indians should have a little land fit for gardens.

The little reservation of Pauma has the use of a fine stream of water from the Pauma Creek, but the stream is apt to be very scanty in summer when it is mostly needed. Some means of conserving the supply is much needed. The reservoir site is so gravelly and sandy that cementing is necessary. The Indians have promised to do all the work if the Government will furnish the cement. I would recommend that they receive the cement.

**Cahuilla.**

On the Cahuilla reservation a storage reservoir and irrigation system is about half completed. It is estimated that $1,000 will complete it. Without the irrigation system the Indians can raise very little, as their reservation is mountains, and contains of very little agricultural land, and that little needs water to produce anything.
Horongo.

The Horongo reservation, near San Diego, has quite an area of arable land, but the land is desert and without water will raise nothing. There is also a fair water supply if it were developed and brought to the land. The water comes from the cienegas, or spring spots, the sources of which are upon the reservation. But one of these cienegas, as is at present used, it is likely the flow from these cienegas could be increased. The water brought from this, the upper, has sufficient fall to pump water from the lower cienega into the ditch for irrigation. The water supply could thus be largely increased, and the area of land cultivated, it is believed, could be more than doubled. I recommend an appropriation for this improvement.

Desert Reservations.

On the Colorado Desert are several small reservations known as Torres, Martinez, Alamo Bonito, San Augustine, Agua Dulce, 29 Palms, and Cebadito, the latter being near Indio.

On two or three of these reservations artesian wells have been bored by the Government, the water from which is used by the Indians for irrigation. They take good use of the water. I would recommend the boring of more wells. The cost is from $300 to $500 per well, and the benefit is great. With the water the Indians are self-sustaining, and without it they are perpetually menaced by famine. I recommend an appropriation for this purpose.

At the Palm Springs reservation, sometimes called Agua Dulce number 2, there is a small stream of water, the right to which is claimed by outside parties. It would seem that the Indian rights are prior and should be supported. If the white contestants are willing to sell for a reasonable price, it would probably be cheaper to buy them out. I would recommend an appropriation to increase the water rights, or buy out the contestants, as may be found the more advisable.

Rincon.

The Rincon reservation, 16 miles from Palm, has four or five hundred acres of arable land, more than there is water to irrigate. A ditch has recently been constructed taking its water from the San Luis Rey River and expected to irrigate about 200 acres. A syndicate is making preparations to build a large dam across the San Luis Rey River a few miles above the Rincon for a storage reservoir and power plant. Steps should be taken to protect the Indian rights to their water. It is believed that if the matter is handled in the manner it can be amicably arranged without in any manner embarrassing the great enterprise.

Boundaries.

One of the best troublesome questions in regard to Southern California reservations arises from the looseness with which the reservation boundaries are laid down. From every reservation comes a complaint as to the boundaries and encroachments upon the boundaries of Indian reservations. One reservation line is said to have been moved in over 1,000 feet. Another is said to have been moved over onto the reservation three separate times. It seems as if each successive owner of land adjoining a reservation is unable to resist the temptation to grab a little Indian land, and they seem able to work this kind of a graft with impunity.
The farcical character of some of the California surveys plays directly into the hands of this class of landgrabbers. If a man steals $50, it is a penal offence. If he steals $5,000 worth of Indian land he gets the land as a reward for his nerve. Encroachments upon Indian lands are likely to continue until it is made a penal offence for anyone to establish the boundary line of an Indian reservation except in conjunction with a duly appointed officer of the Government. There is one thing which, in the opinion of your special agent, should be done, and at once: A commission of competent surveyors should establish the boundaries of every California reservation, and mark the boundaries so as to endure for all time. Fence them if necessary. Your special agent would earnestly recommend an appropriation to determine and mark the various reservation boundaries.

The reservations, Inyaha and the Conejos division of Capitan Grande, should, in the opinion of your special agent, be enlarged by the addition of certain adjoining tracts of Government land. This is advisable chiefly to protect their water rights. The little reservation called Cosmit I found fenced in and used as part of a cattle ranch. There is said to be a deed extant from a senile old man belonging to the tribe, purporting to convey the property to a white man. The deed is worthless, of course, but such attempted transfers are met with in various places in California. The Indians do not care to live on the Cosmit reservation, as the village of Cosmit was, by one of the usual mistakes, not located upon the description set aside as the reservation. The Cosmit Indians can be taken care of on the Inyaha reservation.

The Indians on the remaining reservations in Southern California are in fair condition. At least no facts were observed which require special attention in this report. So other Southern California Indians have been shown to your special agent as having been in so bad a state as those at Campo, but several other bands must have been very close to the line as a result of the bad years. The present year is a favorable one, and no Indians are reported to be destitute, other than a few old people who are without relatives to support them and for whose support the Government makes a small contribution.

The plan of relief for the Indians of California which your special agent ventures to recommend is briefly:

**Southern California.**

That those Indians who have been placed by the Government in such position that they cannot earn their own living shall receive such pecuniary aid as to put them in shape so that they can do so; that this aid take the form of land of good quality with ample water supply, the same to be held in the same manner as their present lands; that this land shall be purchased by a commission appointed by the Honorable Secretary of the Interior, and a majority of which shall be experienced in Southern California land conditions; and that provision be made to extend the irrigation facilities of the reservations mentioned in the body of this report.

**Northern California.**

That those Indians who are landless through past acts of omission of the National Government, shall receive land in lieu of any claims they may have against the Government, moral or otherwise; that the land shall be of good quality
with proper water supply, and shall be located in the neighborhoods in which
the Indians wish to live; that this land shall be given under some such plan
as that pursued at Fort Independence, each family being assigned not exceed-
ing ten acres of land, or such smaller tract as the conditions may warrant;
and that this land be purchased and assigned by a commission appointed by the
Honorable Secretary of the Interior, a majority of whom are expert in Northern
California land conditions.

That these Indians who have received worthless desert allotments
shall have the privilege of exchanging them for allotments of the same size
and character as proposed for the landless Indians of Northern California,
and that the allotments surrendered shall be restored to the public domain;
that those Indians who have received mountain or timber allotments shall
have the privilege of exchanging them for allotments of the same size and
character as those proposed for the landless Indians of Northern California,
and that the allotments so surrendered be added to the forest reserves; that
the exchange of allotments and the purchase of the land for exchange where
necessary be placed in charge of the same commission as that which handles the
other proposed Northern California allotments; and that the unexpended portion
of the appropriation for the support and civilization of the Northern Indians
of California, 1906, be re-appropriated in such form that it may be used in the
purchase of land.

Recommendations common to both Northern
and Southern California.

That further legislation be passed for the protection of the land
and water rights of Indian allottees; that provision be made for an increase
in the number of field patrons and industrial instructors; that the number
of day schools be increased; that additional legislation be passed placing
Indian allottees within the scope of the laws against selling liquor to
Indians; and that the boundaries of the various reservations of California be
determined and marked.

The appropriations recommended are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of land at Campo</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of land for Pachanga</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water supply for Pachanga</td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of land for San Pascual</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of land for Los Coyotes</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of land for San Gabriel</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water system at Korongo</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water system at Cosahilla</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water system at Paumac</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artesian wells for Torres, San Augustine, Cabazon, etc.</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For determining rights at Aquia-Collente or purchase of land</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determining rights at Rincon</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For determining and making reservation boundaries</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,
(Signed) C. E. Kelsey
Special Agent for California Indians.

March 21, 1906.
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thereof, before C. RONALD DAY, Notary Public in and for the
County of Sacramento, State of California.
Q. Now, in developing tentative plans, pre-1958, for rancherias in California, when did this activity begin, how early would you start actually doing that?

And by you I mean the Sacramento Area Office.

A. Well, I can't recall specifically.

Q. I understand. I am just trying to get a general idea of how many years before the act was actually passed whether the Bureau of Indian Affairs had been meeting with groups and attempting to come up with a terminal plan for each rancheria.

A. I think it was about 2 years.

Q. So somewhere in '55, '56, in that general period of time?

A. Yes, I believe so.

Q. And was it the approach of the Bureau of Indian Affairs to say that the Indians would get improvement on their property if they terminated, but if they did not terminate they would not get these things?

A. No, it was never any such negotiation.

Q. It wasn't put to them that way?

A. Written down, I think we put it down on the positive basis that this was one way to get some of these improvements, and in the likelihood that they would get them without it was not very good. For years the budget was very stringent here in California, and there just wasn't much money available to do anything for these people, and we thought that this would be an incentive for the Indians as well as Congress to get some of these improvements made.
Q. Okay. It's somewhere along the line, and correct me if I am wrong, that it had been the plan at least by 1958 when the Rancheria Act was passed, it had been the intent and the plan of the Bureau of Indian Affairs to completely pull out of California in a very short period of time, maybe 5 or 6 years, is that more or less correct?

A. Yes. That was the hope of the Commissioner. At that time I think the estimate was 5 years, or I have forgotten what, there may have been some time limit in the concurrent resolution 108, I am not sure.

Q. And by the time the 1958 Act was passed, was that still the plan of the Commissioner's Office that they pull out of California and completely, the Bureau would pull out of California completely within a very fairly short period of time?

A. Well, I can't say that was the plan of the Commissioner. I think that he had concluded that this was the proper direction and that he still had hopes of pulling out of California completely.

Q. So when they named only 41 rancherias in the 1958 Act, it was not the plan or the desire of the Bureau of Indian Affairs at that time in 1958, that 10 years later or so we would end up with the situation where some California Indians were terminated and others were not?

A. No. There was no deliberate plan in that regard I believe that the proceeding with the terminal action on the 41 rancherias was something that was in keeping with the idea of pulling out of California completely, and it was an effort
get a deputization as a U. S. Marshal, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So they could go on reservations and enforce federal laws on the reservations?
A. That's right.
Q. Now, in 1958, Rancheria Act, Public Law 85671-D, this Act as I recall had an appropriation authorization in it, did it not?
A. No, it did not. That was one of the problems.
Q. I am talking about an appropriation authorization as opposed to an appropriation itself.
A. There was never any authorization for appropriations made to my knowledge.

MR. KING: Okay. Off the record.

(Whereupon, counsel recessed the deposition briefly and conferred off the record.)

MR. KING: Back on the record.
A. I am a little hazy, I know that there was never any money appropriated specifically to carry out this terminal act.
Q. Would it refresh your recollection if I told you that there was in the 1958 Act an appropriation authorization for approximately 509,000 dollars to carry out the provisions of the Rancheria Act?
A. Yes, that is correct, I suspect.
Q. But your testimony is that Congress never actually appropriated the money under this authorization found in the Act?
A. That's right.
Q. Did they give you any additional money in your budget, in your ordinary Sacramento area office budget, to carry out
the Rancheria Act?

Q. Well, yes, we were given a few -- some money from the various sources, and I can recall that there was a special section in the Commissioner's Office that was set up that was referred to, I can't recall the exact title, but it was a planning group, and a lot of funds that we used were those that would be diverted from that office or from that appropriation. Although those were just some rather small amounts for things other than water programs, for example, and land, we used some of those funds I believe for land surveys rather than using our regularly appropriated realty fund.

Q. Did you ever go to Congress with the request that it appropriate money under the 1958 Act?

A. No, we did not, and the reason was that I understood from our associate commissioner at that time that there was an agreement between the Bureau and Congress that we would not ask for a special fund for this purpose.

Q. Now, you mentioned an agreement between the Bureau?

A. Well, it was just an informal handshake sort of thing.

Q. Who entered into it, who were the personnel involved?

A. Well, I don't know. I know -- I think that one of the Congressmen that was involved was Congressman Sisk, I think.

Q. B. F. Sisk?

A. Yes.

Q. Well, what did the Bureau get in exchange for entering into that agreement?

A. Well, they didn't enter into an agreement. Congress says we will go along with it provided you don't ask for any money. That's all there was to it.
Q: In other words, Congress put the appropriation authorization into the bill, then strongly discouraged the Bureau of Indian Affairs from actually coming to Congress and asking for money?
A: Yes.

Q: The answer to that question was yes?
A: Yes. I think that's correct.

Q: Do you know approximately when this arrangement or agreement, whatever you want to call it, was made?
A: No, I don't know, and I don't know under what situations. All I have is a statement from Mr. Lee saying, well, we can't get you any special money for this because we agreed that we wouldn't ask for any.

Q: Mr. Lee, the Associate Commissioner, told you that in so many words?
A: Yes.

Q: And was that shortly after the Act was passed or some years after it, do you have a recollection of approximately when?
A: No, I think that was a condition preceding the passage of the Act. In other words, the Indian Subcommittee said we will go ahead and approve this provided we don't have to give you any more money.

Q: Okay.
A: I believe that's right. I don't know, I can't say for sure.

Q: I don't want to get into a position of asking you an argumentative question, and asking you to make a conclusion, but it seems kind of funny to me that Congress could have made an authorization for the money, then on the sly entered into
AFTERNOON SESSION

MR. KING: Are we ready, back on the record.

Now, I want to get back to the subject of provisions in distribution plans regarding water works on rancherias that were undergoing termination while you were area director. Was it the policy of the area office that the water source developed on any given rancheria only be sufficient to take care of existing households?

Q. Houses that are already there?

A. Yes.

Q. Plus some of the distribution plans talks about houses that are as much as 50 percent completed within a short period after the distribution plan, and it was simply the policy of the Bureau not to provide water or to assure a supply of water for lots that were not developed, is that true?

A. That is correct.

Q. And what was the reason for that, essentially?

A. Well, I can't pinpoint the reason, except that we were interested primarily in the people who were residents and didn't think that it was justifiable expenditure to provide water to a vacant lot, and then it took, of course, a larger supply to do it.

Q. I'm talking now mainly about the supply, not the distribution system, but what you said would hold true for the supply that you would not assure an adequate supply for undeveloped property, is that correct?
which we used for domestic water purposes.

So I think that's how that irrigation term got in there, and there were very few of these.

Q. Now, as this assessing, the need for any rancheria for water, did the Bureau look at the need for the Indians for maintaining home gardens in addition to flushing their toilets and washing their dishes and taking showers?

A. No, I don't think that that was something that we made special provisions for.

Q. And in the early '60s, before the Rancheria Act was amended, provide for the performance of sanitation services by the Indian Health Service, did the Bureau assess water in any given rancheria in light of the need for interior plumbing?

A. No, I don't think that we went that far.

Q. In other words, you were mainly concerned with just having a water source there at the house which people could draw off of and use?

A. Yes. Sometimes it was adequate for sanitation facilities and sometimes it wasn't.

Q. I see. Do you have any specific recollection about whether you considered the adequacy of the Robinson Ranch water system with respect for the need of interior plumbing?

A. I can't recall what the plan provided for on the Robinson rancheria, or what the ultimate improvement was, or what the water system did supply, I just can't recall those facts.

Q. But there were occasions when the BIA terminated a
haul water up to 5 miles, they were awfully lucky. So you
can see what kind of progress has been made in the thinking
of people concerned with the Indian affairs. There was no
concern about domestic water, with few exceptions, with
Indian housing and Indian health.

They maintained hospitals and clinics, but the ser-
vice was very poor, and we in this rancheria program, we
started out with standards that were acceptable for domestic
water, that had been acceptable over the years, and then the
Public Health Service came in with an improved water supply
standard and sanitation facilities, and whatnot.

So we were a little hard put to come up to some of
those new standards, and so some of the jobs that we had
thought were adequate actually by subsequent standards were
not adequate.

Q    Okay. I have got one final area that I want to ask
you some questions about, and that is all of these distribu-
tion plans. As I understand it, the plan always contained a
list of the people who were going to get land and those people
were called distributees?

A    Yes.

Q    And in addition to that, under the name of each
distributee you listed the people who were dependents of the
immediate family, is that true?

A    Yes.

Q    And in determining who was dependent, immediate
dependent members of the immediate family, was there some
regulation that you were following; is that correct, that
you defined what dependent member meant?

A. Well, I think we have some kind of a definition, I don't recall any specifics on it.

Q. Now, how did the Bureau personnel people that work under you in the area office go about checking the accuracy of information given to them by, say, Indians on the rancheria regarding who was related to whom in putting them into the distribution plan?

A. I don't think we did much checking. We took the word of the adult members.

Q. Wasn't any kind of a detailed form that had to be filled out by each individual who was an adult member who was living in their household and that kind of thing?

A. I think it was mostly an informal inquiry thing. We just wrote down what they told us.

Q. Before the land was deeded on any rancheria, it is my understanding that the Bureau appraised the value of the property as of the time that the deeds were to go out, is that true?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you have a practice routinely of hiring qualified appraisers to do this?

A. No, we didn't hire them. We had them on our staff.

Q. I see. You actually staffed people that knew how to appraise real property?

A. That was their business.

Q. In the case of each rancheria, would it be fair to say that a written appraisal report was prepared and submitted
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the Hoopa agency disclosed the fact that no work was done on
the water system prior to the termination of that rancheria,
and that basically the same wells that existed in 1953 were
there when the rancheria was terminated, would you understand
that set of facts would go along with the request on the part
of those Indians up there to have their deeds right away
without the development of a distribution plan that called for
adequate wells?

A. Well, that's kind of hard to say. I don't know what
I might have done. I think that we attempted to throughout
this termination activity, to comply with the wishes of the
people as nearly as we could, and we weren't anxious to spend
money just for the sake of spending it or to undertake
programs that we thought the people didn't want.

And then, of course, most of the plans were in rural
areas, and we didn't check in with the local requirements.

Q. You did not?

A. Except in a general way so that, in other words, we
didn't attempt to go out of our way to do anything that the
people didn't want, so long as there was no public pressure or
so long as the Indian people were in general conformity with
the surrounding rural areas, as far as the water supply and
whatnot.

Q. Well, would it be fair to say, then, that you
regarded the discretion under Section 3, subdivision C of the
1958 Rancheria Act, as being fairly broad in cases where the
people weren't terribly concerned about having a long-lasting
water supply, you would accede to their request and not put one
A. Well, I would have to say it would depend on the
situations, I couldn't say yes or no to that.

Q. Okay. Did the Bureau have a policy, while you were
the area director, of kind of looking at the water situation
for a period of time beyond the actual deeding of the land?
What I am trying to ask you is whether you showed any concern
for what the situation would be say 5 years after the land
was deeded in terms of water?

A. Well, I couldn't say what period we had in mind, but
we attempted to provide a system that would supply sufficient
water for I don't know how long, 5 or 10 years, something like
that perhaps.

Q. Now, was it your practice in the case of the
rancheria to go to the county authorities and ascertain from
them what a private development, a private developer would have
to do in terms of putting in a water system for a piece of land
that he was splitting up into parcels?

A. No, we did not have that policy. In fact, that
became an issue at a couple of places, and our stand was that
it was, this was a federal matter, and that we did not have to
comply with the subdivision standards. In fact, we said that
this was not a subdivision in the usual sense.

Q. Under the kind of laws of the State of California,
you felt you were not bound by these laws?

A. That's right.

Q. Did you give any consideration in taking this posi-
tion to what would happen after the rancheria would be
terminated and these people had to live under the County ordinances and the State laws?

A. Well, yes, we did give consideration to the fact that they would be out there on their own, but the fact is that the County generally in these rural areas had no rules and regulations. At least for the private homes out in the country they didn't go out and inspect their water systems.

Q. Your feeling is that at that time, let's say in the early '60s, the counties were pretty lax in requiring any specific type of water development before a subdivision was made. Now, you mentioned having a couple of situations where this became an issue within the government and the County, can you recall what the instances were?

A. Well, there was one up at Auburn, there is a little rancheria there that was adjacent to the City of Auburn, and we had completed our plan as we interpreted it, and we had surveyed the land, and in order to facilitate the granting title, facilitate the land descriptions, we prepared a map by a subdivision map, but not under the subdivision requirements of the County, and issued the deed by lot numbers specified on that map, which map was filed in the County records.

After this was done, I guess it was the County Board of Supervisors that made an issue of it, and they called, asked Congressman Johnson, I think it was Congressman Johnson, to hold a hearing up there, and they were insisting that this was a subdivision, and as a regular subdivision that they would have to approve it, and if they did approve it that they would require water to the vacant lots and fire
protection facilities, and a lot of other things that we didn't feel were appropriate.

And we maintained that this was not a subdivision in the usual sense. Now, I don't think anything happened as a result of that hearing, but there was the Congressman present.

Q. Well, was this hearing before the County Board of Supervisors or what entity was the hearing presented before?

A. Well, it was not a formal hearing. I think it was just a request to Congressman Johnson to listen to them and to listen to us, and I don't think it was to be considered a hearing.

Really, it was just an informal information session I think.

Q. Well, at this informal information session -- by the way, about what time did that happen, what year, are you able to say?

A. I don't recall.

Q. Was it early in the chain of events or was it somewhat later?

A. No, it was later.

Q. In the mid-60s or thereabouts?

A. I don't know the date. It was after we had made the surveys, and I don't know whether it was after the issue -- probably wasn't after we issued the deeds, but it was after we were about prepared to.

Q. Well, did the County bring to your attention at that time in your discussions with them the possibility of the people that wanted say to build on a vacant lot on a
rancheria that they might have trouble getting a building permit after the deed had been issued because of the fact that there was not a water supply on the vacant lot?

A. I don't recall whether they made that point or not. That was included, I think, in the general statement that the subdivision wasn't in accordance with the county requirements. And, of course, naturally it followed that if they concluded that it was a regular subdivision, there would be no building permits issued.

Q. Did they take that position after the deeds were issued, do you know? A. I don't know.

Q. Now, I would assume from what you have already said that you did not attempt to comply with, say, lot size standards set by the County under their subdivision ordinances in determining how this rancheria land was to be broken up?

A. We didn't think it was any concern of the counties, that they had any authority to dictate the manner in which we subdivided the land or issued the deed.

Q. What I am trying to get at, Mr. Hill, is how did the Government think the Indians would be able to use their land after the trust relationship had been terminated unless there was some attempt to comply with county ordinances, or was this problem ever considered?

A. Well, we assumed that they would do the same as they they had in the past. They had been building homes out there, and then, of course, the counties did not exercise their authority on the Indian reservations.

Q. That is when they were reservations, but after
termination when they were no longer reservations, but void of the state status as everybody else's land in the county, how did the Bureau expect the people on these rancherias to use their property if they didn't comply with the laws that were then in effect regarding say the lot size?

A Well, I don't think that we had that as one of our standards that these reservations or that the Indian properties would be in compliance with all of the county ordinances. We knew that the water supply in some of these places did not come up to what was required in a municipality for instance or in a formal subdivision, we knew that there wasn't sufficient water, there was no facility for protection from fire, just as there weren't on a lot of other places that were not Indian land, and were not informal subdivisions, and the county doesn't ordinarily go in and kick people off their property because they are not in complete compliance.

Q What I am referring to here is the case of unimproved lots where there wasn't an existing structure at the time of the termination, how did the Bureau of Indian Affairs expect the Indian who got pieces of unimproved property to utilize their land without complying with the county ordinances regarding lot size, water, size of leach field, and so on?

A Well, we didn't follow that through. We didn't intend that we should make these properties or improve these properties to the point where there would be no problem involved.

Now, a lot of the counties in the state had no codes for rural water supply or fire protection or, in fact, I
MEMORANDUM

To: Area Indian Advisory Board

From: Area Director

Subject: August 26th Meeting regarding Area Office Funding

The Area Indian Advisory Board met on August 26th to determine what might be the best approach to follow in petitioning the Bureau of Indian Affairs for an increase in the Sacramento Area Budget.

A plan outline consisting of the following five major points was agreed to:

I. Historical background of California Indians to the Federal Government.

II. Financial comparison of California with other areas with starting date to be established.

III. The following four points will be developed in the request for additional funding:

A. No increases in the Sacramento Area Budget occurred until 1970. The impact of the reverse termination decision was not felt until 1970;

B. Money spent in completing distribution plans of rancherias being terminated came from the area's regular annual budget, even though Congress authorized appropriations for termination costs under the Rancheria Act of 1958 and the amendment in 1964.

C. The first dollar from another Federal agency identified for California Indians came in 1967 when the Inter-Tribal Council of California was funded.
D. An increase occurred in the California service population due to the court decision in the consolidated cases of Knight v. Kleppe and Duncan v. Kleppe which unitedmated all the dependent members of the terminated rancherias. That decision added another 2,000 to 4,000 Indians to the California service population.

IV. Define Service Population

V. Summary

The meeting concluded with the intent expressed to contract with an individual capable of completing such a study. Since the meeting, Bill Oliver, former Area Administrative Officer, has agreed to complete the work.

Please review the above plan as outlined and let us know your comments with any suggestions or changes you think would be helpful. Also, please advise if you agree with the selection of Bill Oliver to complete the plan. Please try to respond by October 20. After your comments have been received this same mailing will be sent to all tribal officials.

The following attended the meeting: Joseph Saulque, Emmett St. Marie, Peter Masten, William Scott, Dorothy Stanley, Mary Norton, Donna Duckey, Harold Dixon, James Whipple, William Finale, Jerry Tomhave, Bob Hostler, Toni Stoliby and Pat McCormick.

The discussion was taped and attached is a typed account of what was said.

/SGDL/ C. L. HENSON

FOR William E. Finale

Attachment

cc: Superintendent, Central California Agency

Hoopa Agency

Southern California Agency

Director, Palm Springs Office
This rancheria consists of three lots totaling 38.90 acres, purchased in July 1928 from the Central California Traction Co. for $5000. It is situated north of the Wilton Post Office and general store, about 24 miles from Sacramento via Elk Grove. The general area is agricultural with the land partially utilized for grazing of dairy cattle and for crops.

LAND

The lots are #615- 12.90 acres, #616- 10.02 acres, #617- 15.98 acres for a total of 38.90 more or less.

The land is on a shelf sloping to the river from a line about at the north end of lot # 616. Drainage is westward from the private land to the east. The Consumnes River floods the bottom land to the north frequently. There is a levee protecting the adjoining land on the east. Drainage is into the river at a point near the NW corner.

Reports indicate that the land is not good for community farming but with leveling and water might support cattle. Levee construction and leveling would be necessary. Records show beans were grown several years ago but the effort was not profitable.

Lot # 617 was planned as the homesite area. Nine families have assignments here. Two are in lot #616 and one (Smith) extends close to #615.

It is suggested that the Council retain all land, leasing that not necessary for homesites. There have been offers for long term leases with part of the rental for tenant improvement such as levee construction and leveling.
WATER
The domestic water system consists of a well, tank and automatic pump maintained by the Council. The well tested to 710 GPM at the time of construction. The system is not designed for irrigation. The river does not lend itself to irrigation as the flow is controlled by dams at higher levels and is known to run dry in the summer and fall. Wells should furnish ample water for farming or grazing land work.

The State Attorney for the Div. of Water Resources advises that a Mutual Water Co. could be organized to operate and maintain the existing system for the benefit of the council members. Further study of the future operation by this or some other plan would depend on the plans of the Area Director and the Council regarding the land disposition at Withdrawal.

ROADS
The basic layout of Lot #617 shows 39 lots for homesites about 60' X 170' served by two streets running north east, two alleys the same and one cross street. There is also a homesite at the SW corner of lot # 616. The road on the SE side exists to the well site, about 550' from the main road. This street then turns NW and meanders through the alley to the junction of 617 and 615 at the river. The other streets and alleys exist only on paper.

Decision will have to be reached on the plat of the homesite area prior to any development of the road system.

GENERAL
The population consists of 12 families some related. The last report shows a total population of 40 resident Indians. Cooperation of recent years seems to be good tho all income is from work off the rancheria. No attempt has been made to start community Farming. Home consumption gardens are maintained by several of the families. Most have chicken flocks for home consumption, and eggs. Two families are settled outside of the planned home area, McKean Jr. and Smith. They are in lot 616.

Charles McKean Jr. was elected Chairman for 1953 and appears to be an active leader of the group, with the overall interest of the community in mind. He will be easy to work with in withdrawal planning as he is open minded and intelligent. I do not feel that any internal conflict will develop under his leadership except in membership of non-resident Indians. The roll is supposed to be corrected to include only those who meet the requirements of the Council.